

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/694,386	VETORETTI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patrick F. Brinson	3754

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Patrick F. Brinson.

(3) _____.

(2) Benjamin J. Hauptman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

12, 13 and 23-27

Prior art documents discussed:

EP 567,240 A1 to Edwards

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed cancelling claims 23-27 wherein they are drawn to a separate invention than what was searched originally. New claims being drawn to a fastening device. It was agreed that the claims be cancelled by Examiner's amendment. Also discussed amending claim 12 to incorporate functional language to overcome possible rejection of the Edwards reference..